

25 MAR 2025

Fitch Rates Azul's Superpriority Secured Notes 'CCC'/'RR4'

Fitch Ratings - Rio de Janeiro - 25 Mar 2025: Fitch Ratings has assigned a rating of 'CCC' with a Recovery Rating of 'RR4' to Azul Secured Finance LLP's USD525 million superpriority secured notes due 2030.

Fitch currently rates Azul S.A., the guarantor of the notes, with Long-Term Foreign and Local Currency Issuer Default Rating (IDRs) of 'CCC' and a Positive Rating Outlook. The Positive Outlook reflects expectations of Azul's credit profile strengthening in the short to medium term due to cash flow improvements and potential liquidity events from its restructuring plan. High leverage, limited financial flexibility and industry risks remain rating constraints.

Key Rating Drivers

Debt Agreement Qualified as DDE: On Jan. 28, 2025, Azul announced the conclusion of its broad refinancing agreement involving its main creditors and suppliers, including the exchange offer for its existing 2029 and 2030 notes. The total amount reached around BRL5.4 billion, out of a total debt of BRL30.7 billion as of Sept. 30, 2024.

Per its criteria, Fitch views this as a distressed debt exchange (DDE). The deal was assessed to avoid default. Despite no immediate debt haircut or maturity extension, bondholders who did not accept the deal faced worse terms due to the larger secured debt profile and lower returns from the equitization of part of the 2029 and 2030 notes. Azul also announced the completion of a USD525 million superpriority notes issuance, a precedent condition for the restructuring deal.

Lessors and OEM Agreement: Azul reached commercial agreements with lessors and OEMs totaling approximately USD557 million in exchange for 96 million new AZUL4 preferred shares in a one-time issuance to be completed in the first quarter of 2025. The process involves extinguishing USD244 million of existing notes held by certain lessors and OEMs and exchanging the remaining 2030 lessor/OEM notes for new unsecured notes due in 2032, with an option to pay interest in kind.

These agreements included a financing condition tied to ongoing negotiations with bondholders and the ability to raise new debt. According to Azul, this agreement will improve cash flow by approximately USD300 million over 2025, 2026 and 2027.

Agreement with Bondholders: Azul secured the current USD525 million superpriority funding agreement with bondholders, including USD150 million provided in November 2024 that has been fully paid. This involved equitizing USD785 million of new 2029 and 2030 notes into preferred shares: 35.0% of the new exchange notes by April 30, 2025, and 12.5% upon completing an equity offering raising at

least USD200 million. The remaining 52.5% will be exchanged by April 30, 2025, into new exchangeable notes with 4.0% cash interest plus 6.0% payment in kind.

Successful Restructuring to Improve Liquidity: Besides the new USD525 million issuance, Azul is looking for an opportunity to raise additional cash from a potential follow-on equity issuance and other sources of liquidity, including ABGF (Agencia Brasileira Gestora de Fundos Garantidores e Garantias). Fitch believes these events still carry execution risk as they depend on market conditions and are not entirely within management's control and cannot assume this as its base case scenario. Azul's ability to enhance its liquidity and manage refinancing risks in the short to medium term could further benefit its ratings.

Cash Flow Burn: Azul faced multiple challenges such as BRL devaluation, an approximate 10% revenue loss due to Rio Grande do Sul flooding, and delays in receiving new aircraft, all of which pressured its operating cash flow generation during 2024. These factors, along with high interest, rental payments and capital expenditures, result in recurring negative free cash flow. EBITDA generation reached around BRL6 billion in 2024, and Fitch expects it to move closer to BRL7.2 billion in 2025. Lease rental, interest, and capex are projected to total BRL8 billion in 2025.

Effective Deleveraging Expected Late 2025: Azul's leverage is expected to peak in 2024 and decline through 2025, reaching 4.5x by 2026, according to Fitch's estimates. Improvements in EBITDA generation are expected to restore its credit profile in the medium term. Fitch's base case forecasts total and net adjusted leverage/EBITDAR ratios of 6.2x and 6.0x, respectively, in 2024, decreasing to 5.0x and 4.7x, respectively, in 2025 and 4.7x and 4.5x, respectively, in 2026. Fitch calculates Azul's total debt at BRL37 billion by year-end Dec. 31, 2024.

Potential Merger with GOL: The current rating scenarios do not incorporate any consolidation movement. Azul has been vocal about its strategy to consolidate the market and is considering a potential transaction with GOL Linhas Aereas Inteligentes S.A. (currently undergoing Chapter 11 proceedings in the U.S.). The final terms of the deal and the pro forma capital structure of the combined entity remain unclear. Once information is available Fitch will reassess the impact on Azul's ratings post-merger.

Peer Analysis

Azul has a weaker position relative to global peers given its limited geographic diversification, higher operating leverage and weaker financial flexibility. In terms of regional peers, it has a weaker position than LATAM Airlines Group S.A.(BB-/Positive) and Avianca Group International Limited (B/Stable) in business diversification, liquidity and financial flexibility. In contrast to LATAM and Avianca, Azul has not completed a debt haircut as part of its post-pandemic restructuring.

Azul's strong position in the Brazilian regional market and high operating margins have been major rating drivers. FX risk is a negative credit factor, considering its limited geographic diversification. The company employs currency hedging, which only partially mitigates this risk.

Fitch expects LATAM and Avianca to maintain gross leverage of about 2.5x and 3.5x, respectively, in the

next two years, while Azul's credit metrics should be around 4.5x in 2024. Azul's leasing and interest burden and capex program significantly increase the risks associated with funding its sizable negative FCF.

Key Assumptions

--Fitch's base case during 2025 and 2026 includes an increase in ASK by 6% and 11%, respectively, and in RPK of 6% and 10%, respectively;

--Load factors around 80%-81% during 2025 and 2026;

--Adjusted EBITDAR margins of around 30%-32% in 2025 and 2026;

--Capex of BRL1.4 billion in 2025 and BRL2.0 billion in 2026.

Recovery Analysis

The recovery analysis assumes that Azul would be considered a going concern in bankruptcy and that the company would be reorganized rather than liquidated. Fitch has assumed a 10% administrative claim.

Going Concern Approach

Azul's going concern EBITDA is BRL2.5 billion, which incorporates the low-end expectations of Azul's EBITDA post-pandemic, adjusted by lease expenses, and a discount of 20%. The going concern EBITDA estimate reflects our view of a sustainable, post-reorganization EBITDA level on which Fitch bases the valuation of the company. The enterprise value (EV)/EBITDA multiple applied is 5.5x, reflecting Azul's strong market position in Brazil.

Fitch applies a waterfall analysis to the post-default EV, based on the relative claims of the debt in the capital structure. The debt waterfall assumptions consider the company's total debt as of Dec. 31, 2024. These assumptions result in a recovery rate for the first-lien and superpriority secured bonds within the 'RR1' range and second-lien secured notes within the 'RR2' range. However, due to the soft cap of Brazil at 'RR4', Azul's senior secured notes are rated at 'CCC'/RR4'. For the unsecured notes, the recovery is in the 'RR6' range, resulting in a rating of 'CC'/RR6'.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

--Liquidity deterioration and/or difficulties in continuing to access credit lines;

--Gross and net leverage ratios consistently above 6.5x and 6.0x, respectively;

--EBITDA fixed-charge coverage sustained at or below 1x;

--Competitive pressures leading to severe loss in market share or yield deterioration;

--Aggressive growth strategy leading to consolidation movement financed with debt.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

--Improved liquidity position and maintenance of a well-spread debt amortization profile with no major refinancing risks in the medium term;

--EBITDAR fixed-charge coverage sustained at or above 1.1x;

--FCF generation above Fitch's base case expectations;

--Gross and net leverage consistently below 5.5x and 5.0x, respectively;

--Continued solid rebound of Brazilian domestic air traffic.

Liquidity and Debt Structure

Azul's short-term maturities totaled BRL5.5 billion (BRL2.2 billion of financial debt and BRL3.3 billion of leasing obligations) as of Dec. 31, 2024. Azul's readily available cash, per Fitch's criteria, declined to BRL1.3 billion from BRL1.9 billion at the end of December 2023. According to Fitch's estimates, Azul would not be able to generate enough cash flow and lacks sufficient liquidity to fulfill those obligations without new money.

Total debt was BRL31.2 billion, and primarily consists of BRL17.3 billion of leasing obligations, BRL977 million of the bridge notes due 2025, BRL196 million of cross-border senior unsecured notes due 2026, and BRL11.4 billion of secured issuances due 2028, 2029 and 2030.

Issuer Profile

Azul is one of Brazil's largest airlines, dominating the regional market and serving as the sole carrier on 82% of its routes. In 2024, 93% of its revenues came from passengers, while 7% came from cargo and other sources.

Date of Relevant Committee

31 January 2025

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

MACROECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS AND SECTOR FORECASTS

[Click here](#) to access Fitch's latest quarterly Global Corporates Macro and Sector Forecasts data file which aggregates key data points used in our credit analysis. Fitch's macroeconomic forecasts, commodity price assumptions, default rate forecasts, sector key performance indicators and sector-level forecasts are among the data items included.

ESG Considerations

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit <https://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores>.

Fitch Ratings Analysts

Debora Jalles

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+55 21 4503 2621

Fitch Ratings Brasil Ltda. Av. Barão de Tefé, 27 - Sala 601 Saúde Rio de Janeiro, RJ 20220-460

Francisco Mercadal

Associate Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+56 2 3321 2912

Martha Rocha

Managing Director

Committee Chairperson

+1 212 908 0591

Media Contacts

Maggie Guimaraes

São Paulo

+55 11 4504 2207

maggie.guimaraes@thefitchgroup.com

Rating Actions

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR
AzulSecured Finance LLP			
• super	LT	CCC	New Rating RR4

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING	RECOVERY	PRIOR
senior			

RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

POSITIVE	○	◆
NEGATIVE	○	◆
EVOLVING	●	◆
STABLE	●	

Applicable Criteria

[Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.06 Dec 2024\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Corporate Recovery Ratings and Instrument Ratings Criteria \(pub.02 Aug 2024\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)

[Country-Specific Treatment of Recovery Ratings Criteria \(pub.03 Mar 2023\)](#)

[National Scale Rating Criteria \(pub.22 Dec 2020\)](#)

[Parent and Subsidiary Linkage Rating Criteria \(pub.16 Jun 2023\)](#)

[Sector Navigators - Addendum to the Corporate Rating Criteria \(pub.06 Dec 2024\)](#)

Applicable Models

Numbers in parentheses accompanying applicable model(s) contain hyperlinks to criteria providing description of model(s).

Corporate Monitoring & Forecasting Model (COMFORT Model), v8.1.0 ([1](#))

Additional Disclosures

[Solicitation Status](#)

Endorsement Status

Azul Secured Finance LLP EU Endorsed, UK Endorsed

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its

reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see [Best- and Worst-Case Measures](#) under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic

publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright© 2025 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

Endorsement policy

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's [Regulatory Affairs](#) page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.